A PREDICTION MODEL FOR NOISE FROM LOW-ALTITUDE MILITARY AIRCRAFT

B F Berry , National Physical Laboratory, Teddington,
TW11 0LW UK
J D Speakman, Armstrong Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio 45433
USA

INTRODUCTION

For a number of years, the National Physical Laboratory,
supported by the Ministry of Defence, has been develop-
ing AIRNOISE, a mathematical model for computing air-
craft noise contours (1). As part of the continuous
programme of development of the model we were asked to
extend it to include low-altitude military operations.
The objective is to predict the complete time-history of
the noise of these very rapid events, thus providing
information on onset rates as well as maximum levels.
In order to provide high quality data with which to
validate and refine the model, a special noise trial –
Exercise Luce Belle – was conducted in which a number of
aircraft types flew low, straight and level at various
speeds and engine power settings. This paper firstly
describes the noise trial and then the prediction model.
The comparison of prediction with measurements is dis-
cussed. In particular the effects of changes in the
assumptions in the model about lateral attenuation are
explored.

MEASUREMENTS

The noise trial is described in detail in two NPL re-
ports (2,3).
The aircraft types used were Tornado GR1, Jaguar, Harri-
er GR5, Hawk T1A, F-15 and F-16. Each aircraft flew one
or two sorties during which a number of conditions
typical of those used in low-altitude training were
replicated in a number of runs across a target area. At
a primary site directly under the flight track, four
sets of microphones, some at 1.2 m high and some in the
ground plane were deployed. Two similar sets were
deployed at a site 1000m perpendicular to the track.
All of the signals were digitally recorded using either

DAT or PCM systems. Information on the actual height,
speed and ground track for each run was obtained from a
combination of kine-theodilite, radar and video tracking
systems. Details of the data analysis techniques and
the full set of results are given in the reports. As an
example, Figure 1 shows the results for the Tornado at
one of the locations on the primary site. The results
from the trial have been used to update the rules gov-
erning permitted heights and speeds in the UK Low Flying
System (4).

THE FLYBY PREDICTION MODEL

This model is related to the AIRNOISE model for airfield
operations but is separate from it. The software is
designed to calculate a time-history of the A-weighted
sound pressure level, at a single point on the ground,
for a flyby of an aircraft operating under defined
conditions. The sound exposure level, the maximum level
and the rise-time over the top 30 dB are also calculat-
ed. The calculations make use of the noise-distance-
power database of AIRNOISE (5). The sequence of stages
of the software is as follows. The user selects an
aircraft type and an appropriate source noise directivi-
ty correction file. An engine power setting is selected
and the associated coefficients of the noise-distance
equation are read from the aircraft data file. The user
then enters the aircraft height, speed and the lateral
distance from the observation point to the flight track.
From this the minimum slant distance is calculated.
Then at one-tenth second intervals throughout the event,
the slant distance and the angle between the line from
aircraft to observation point and the flight path are
calculated. A level is calculated at the observation
point from the noise-distance equation and the directiv-
ity correction. Corrections are then made for engine
power and for lateral attenuation. From the series of
levels throughout the event, the other quantities are
measured. The software is written in "C" Language and
runs on a portable PC.

COMPARISON OF PREDICTIONS AND MEASUREMENTS

The model was originally implemented using the SAE
procedure for lateral attenuation (6). In a companion
paper to this one (7), the results of the UK noise
trial, together with a large quantity of data from
similar noise measurements on military aircraft in the
USA have been analysed and it has been shown that the
SAE procedure tends to over estimate the lateral attenu-
ation at angles of elevation between 2 and 45 degrees.

It is proposed that the correction for lateral attenuation takes the form of

Attenuation (dB) = 20.49/Angle - 0.1818

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the measured time-history' for a Tornado at 480 knots and 238 feet with the predicted time history assuming either the SAE procedure or the new proposal, labelled AL. Figure 3 shows a similar comparison for an aircraft height of 108 feet and speed of 426 knots. The differences between the two forms of lateral attenuation correction are most marked at times well before and after the maximum level is reached. These correspond of course to low angles of elevation. Over the top 40 db of the time-histories, there is excellent agreement between the predictions and measurement. Taking the results from all 18 overflights of the Tornado in Exercise Luce belle, Figure 4 compares measured and predicted values of L_{Amax}. Also shown are a linear regression fit to the points and the line of equality. On average the model underpredicts by about 1 dB.

CONCLUSIONS

A prediction model has been developed and implemented in "C" on a portable PC which generates time-histories of A-weighted sound pressure level for a flyby of an aircraft at given constant speed, height and power setting. A carefully controlled noise trial has been conducted to provide data for a range of aircraft and conditions. There is good agreement between the model predictions and measured data.

REFERENCES

1. R J Weston and B F Berry, 1990. Noise from military airfields in the United Kingdom. Proc. InterNoise '90, 413-416.
2. B F Berry, R C Payne and A L Harris, 1991. Noise levels of military aircraft at low-altitude: Exercise "Luce Belle". NPL Report RSA(EXT)14.
3. B F Berry, R C Payne and A L Harris, 1991. Noise levels of USAF aircraft in Exercise "Luce Belle". NPL Report RSA(EXT)16.
4. R Bogg, 1991. Combat aircraft noise - The operator's perspective. Proc. AGARD Conference on Combat Aircraft Noise. AGARD CPP 512
5. P Fethney and A Hazell, 1983. External noise of MoD military aircraft. RAE Technical Report 83058.

6.   Society of Automotive Engineers, 1982.   Prediction
method for lateral attenuation of airplane noise during
take-off and landing.   Aerospace Information Report AIR
1751.
7.   J D Speakman and B F Berry, 1992.   Modelling the
lateral attenuation of aircraft flight noise. Proc.
InterNoise '92

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Figure 1. Maximum noise levels at primary site : Tornado

Figure 2. Comparison of measured and predicted time-histories.

Figure 3. Comparison of measured and predicted time-histories.

Figure 4. Measured and predicted values of L_{Amax}.

END

FILMED

DATE: 4 - 93

DTIC